

The Pig, The Worms & You! PT. 5

Last week, I gave a copy of "The Pig, The Worms and You" to S _____ R _____ (SR) and immediately, SR started reading what was written. After reading just a few lines SR said: "I guess you must be a Seventh-Day Adventist (SDA)?" Well: "What made you come to that conclusion," I asked? SR said, "I read where you said it is wrong to: 'EAT PORK'." "Well", said I, "did SDA's say that, or did God say that?" Friends, the mind set of SR is the sad belief for many Pastors' and their members, for they too are of the opinion that the 'no-pork-eating-command' has passed by long ago, hence, anyone who says eating pork is wrong is totally out-of-order. But are these sayings: **TRUE?**



Over 6000 thousands years ago Moses said: "Ye [are] the children of (whom?) the LORD your God..." and "...thou [art] an holy people unto the LORD thy God, and the LORD hath chosen thee to be a **peculiar** people unto himself, above all the nations that [are] upon the earth." Hence: "**Thou shalt not eat any abominable thing.**" DEUT 14:1-3. Friend, do you consider yourself to be a part of the children of the LORD our God? If we do, we should prayerfully stay away from those: **ABOMINABLE PORK FESTING MEALS!** Sadly, there will be some who will say: I will not stay away, thus, I will eat **IT!**

Friends, if there ever were a time we should "**study**" the Holy Writ to see why so many have strayed from the '**No-Eating-Pork**' command, it is now! What is even more painstaking is there are some medical doctors who are saying the **abominable pig** is ok to eat. Then, Pastors, who should know better, are equally on the record saying the Bible says unclean foods such as pork, crab, conch, lobster and shrimp, are all good to eat: **Now!** But, does the Bible really say all meats are now ok for: **CONSUMPTION?**

Wherefore, with the guidance of the Holy Spirit, let us prayerfully, and carefully, use the Holy Spirit's magnifying glass to examine the Biblical texts which are sometimes used by some Pastors and their members alike.

First up, is when Jesus: "...Called the multitude, and said unto them, 'Hear, and understand: **Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth a man; but that which cometh out of the mouth, this defileth a man.**'" After Jesus made that statement, His disciples said unto Him, 'the Pharisees (the religious leaders) were offended, after they heard those words, Jesus'. But today, there are modern day Pharisees (the religious leaders) who are not "**offended**" but are rejoicing, why, they believe Jesus was saying you can eat whatever now; and it would not "**kill you.**" But, if these "blind leaders of the blind" would only keep the sayings of Jesus in there right prospective they would never ever put words into Jesus' mouth. Why, Jesus was not talking about **FOOD**, but about the: "**Wickedness of man's heart.**" And proof of that fact is Peter's question, for he said unto Jesus: "Declare unto us this parable. And Jesus said, Are ye also yet without understanding?" (And yes, 2000 years later Jesus is once again asking the same question) "Do not ye yet understand, (**blind leaders of the blind**) that whatsoever entereth in at the mouth goeth into the belly, and is cast out into the draught? But those things which '**proceed out of the mouth**' come forth from the **HEART** and they '**defile the man.**' For out of the **HEART** proceed **evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witness, blasphemies:** These are [**the things**] which '**defile a man:**' But to **EAT** with '**unwashed hands defileth not a man.**" MATTHEW 15:1-21. Yes, my friend, the '**parable**' was not about "**clean and unclean foods**" but all about "**man's wicked mind.**" Hence, we have this sobering reminder from the Apostle Paul when he said, our: "**...Carnal mind [is] enmity against God: For it is not subject to the LAW of God, neither indeed can be. So then (wrote Paul) they that are IN the flesh cannot please God.**" ROMANS 8:7, 8. Next week we will continue by looking into Peter's vision, where he doubted within himself, yet he did not eat, **RIGHT?** Acts 10. Surely, we cannot work around the words of the Apostle Paul; despise not him that eateth, hence, let him eat, **RIGHT?** Romans 14. Thus, every '**creature**' is good, refuse none, **RIGHT?** 1 Tim 4. **God willing, we'll SEE!**

MEAT AND COLON CANCER PART 4

The findings in the Harvard-based Nurses' Health Study are not unique. A 1990 European study found similar relationships. When women who ate meat frequently were compared to those that rarely or never ate meat, they had nearly doubled the risk of colon cancer. An Australian study found an association between a host of different animal products and colon cancer in women. Implicated foods included red meat and liver as well as seafood and dairy products. In this study, however, the very worst food group was eggs, with the heaviest consumers experiencing six times the risk of colon cancer. This Australian research further emphasizes the conclusion that, regarding meat eating and colon cancer, red meat definitely increases risk; chicken and fish are associated with less risk, but are actually not protective. Thus, current research makes an eloquent point to leave off all red meat—and it does not provide a sound reason to eat more fish or chicken. Taken from the book: Proof Positive, by Neil Nedley. **Watch words: Eat Right!**